UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of Minnesota

Benedda Cotton, Terry Davis		JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE
Ź	Plaintiff(s),	
v.		Case Number: 20-cv-1588 JRT/JFD
Ryan Miller, Brian Graupner		
	Defendant(s).	
and the jury has rendered its Decision by Court. This action been tried or heard and a deci IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGEI 1. Plaintiffs' Motion for Summ 2. Defendants' Motion for Summ 3. The Parties' Joint Motion For and DENIED in part as follow a. The parties are instructed to and 48-1 omitting the information. ■ The parties are instructed to and 48-1 omitting the information. ■ The parties are instructed to and 48-1 omitting the information.	verdict. I came to trial or hearing sion has been rendered of THAT: Inary Judgment [Docket mary Judgment [Docket mary Judgment [Docket mary Judgment [Sealing [Istair edacted versions of the store about third-party was 35, 35-1, 40, 41, and 48 we years;	No. 27] is GRANTED; tet No. 36] is DENIED. Docket No. 51] is GRANTED in part of ECF Nos. 32-1, 32-3, 32-5, 40, 41, witnesses and the 911 caller; 8-1 shall remained sealed and restricted
Date: 8/8/2022		KATE M. FOGARTY, CLERK